

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

2/56	3676		Wa	shington, D.C. 2023	1
SERIA	LNUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/5	593,676	10/05/90	GREEN	<u>D</u>	1168
					EXAMINER
BAG	AM E. NA	DIO ST		LEWIS, W	#6
		ES SURGICAL	CORP.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GLOVER NALK, CT			336	
112114	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The fact that the fact		DATE MAILED:	
This is a con	munication from NER OF PATEN	the examiner in charge of TS AND TRADEMARKS	f your application.		09/20/91
This appli	cation has been	n examined Re	esponsive to communication filed on	E	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.  Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133					
Part I THE F	OLLOWING A	TTACHMENT(S) AR	E PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. 🔲 No	tice of Referen	ces Cited by Examine	r, PTO-892. 2. 🗡 Notic	ce re Patent Drawing,	PTO-948.
		d by Applicant, PTO-1		ce of Informal Patent A	Application, Form PTO-152
5. Anformation on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.					
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1. 💢 Cl	aims/ -	20			are pending in the application.
	Of the abo	ve, daims			re withdrawn from consideration.
2. 🔲 Cla	uims				_ have been cancelled.
3. $\square$ Cla	ims				are allowed.
4. 🔀 Cla	ims 1-2	0			are rejected.
5. 🔲 Cla	ims			<del> </del>	are objected to.
6. 🔲 Cla	ims	·		are subject to restrict	tion or election requirement.
7. 🔲 Thi	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8. 🔲 Fo	mal drawings	are required in respon	se to this Office action.		
	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).				
			heet(s) of drawings, filed onniner (see explanation).		approved by the
11. 🔲 The	proposed dra	wing correction, filed	, has been 🗖 app	proved; disapprove	d (see explanation).
			for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified at no; filed on	• •	peived not been received
			condition for allowance except for formal moarte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	atters, prosecution as	to the merits is closed in
14. 🗍 Oth	er				

Serial No. 593676

Art Unit 336

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite for it is unclear what is the structural interrelationship between the elements of the trocar assembly. Section (c) is unclear for it is unknown relative to what the trocar tip is extended. In section (d), there is no antecedent basis for the releasable obturator means. In section (e), there are some terms missing from "said to". Claim 5 is indefinite for some terms are missing from "mounted said" in line 3. Claim 6 is incorrect for "said trocar assemble..." is cited twice in lines 2 and 3. Claim 7 is indefinite for there is no antecedent basis for the obturator cited in line 3. Claims 8, 10, 11 and 12 are indefinite for there is no antecedent basis for the releasable obturator means. Claim 15 is indefinite for it is unclear what is the structural interrelationship between the elements of the trocar assembly. In section (d), the is no antecedent basis for the releasable obturator means. Claim 16 is incorrect for the trocar is not in a retracted position. In claim 18, line 3, "form" should be spelled "from".

Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Art Unit 336

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner W. Lewis at telephone number (703) 308-3136.

WL/DB

September 19, 1991

C. FRED ROSENBAUM

S. P. E.

ART UNIT 336